G. R. S. IX-5]

Previous references.

File No. 1[illegible]

192 .

Serial Nos.

([illegible])

Later references.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT

Serial Nos.

Part B for Nov 20

SUBJECT.

Section 4 of the Mineral Regulations

[illegible] Bangalore 1549 24.11.20

Send to the Govt order.

WD 2283—GPB—20,000—14-6-20

/

G. R. S. 11] 191

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

( )

NOTES.

153—20
File No.

FILE HEADING.

See 11 of the Inamdar Regulation.

From

The Dy. Com[r], Bangalore (Dist[t])

P No. 152 Dated 10.8.20 Received 18.8.20

Diary No. 416	Enclos	Spare Copies.	Serial No. 1

BRIEF ABSTRACT OF LETTER.

Reports that in a Inamdari appeal, it was argued before him that the abrogated notification of 1917 was virtually cancelled by the procedure prescribed in Sec 11 of the Inam. Regn, and requests opinion/ruling of The Govt on the point.

NOTES AND ORDERS.

Secretary's order —

"Draft a reply stating the question has already been decided in a "Channapatna appeal"

NOTES—First Sheet.

GPB—20,000—8-8-11

G. R. S. III-2.

General and Revenue Secretariat.

476

Mad File No. 193 of 1920.

Serial No.

Mang. J. No 198 18 relating Chamarajpatna appeal is put up this point has been raised, vide observations in the Secretary remarks on the case — Vide G, para 24 — Page 16 of the Office-note — Ch. Comrs notification of 1877 has the force of law ~~Constitution~~ and action is being taken under the said notification for the resumption of Devadaya inams alienated from Govt. in Sthe S.

Submitted with a copy of the G.O. cited by Commissioner

28/8/20

Draft for Orders

The question is rather an embarrassing one —

On the G.O. of 29 June 1920 regarding the Chamarajpatna case, the Govt have declared an alienation to be invalid under the notification of 1877.

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

G. R. S. III-2.

476.

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Revn. File No. 153 of 1921.

Serial No.

but Chickballapur Case

While in some other cases, the Courts have upheld the right of adverse possession of over 12 years on the part of the Ahmed - under the Muz. Regulations -

Here Court to the No satisfactory solution until the one or the other of the two Conflicting provisions of the Law is declared to be the final Law on the subject & the other formally revoked.

The question of amending Sec - 14 of Muz. Reg. is under Consideration in the Chief Secretariat -

To Revn. 13/9/20

The Conclusion that has already been arrived at that Sec 14 of the Muzrai Regulation has not Cancelled the right of 1871 appears to be quite sound and it is satisfactory for purposes of the

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

(4

G. R. S. III-2.

General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. 193 of 19 20.

Serial No

Question.

There is no doubt that the proviso to Sec 14 is misleading and I understand that the question of Amending it is under consideration in the legislative branch

I have also kept certain draft notes how it is proposed to Amend Sec 14

UJ 14/9/20

AMZ 14.9.20

On enquiry in the III Members office, it is ascertained that it is under consideration to amend the proviso to Sec 14 of the Imp Regn so as to bring it in conformity with the Imm Rules of 1920 the papers are being put up.

Submitted

AMZ 21.9.20 24.9.20

AMZ 23/9

Secretary) UJ 24/9/20

Secretary Member

1946-66

24.9.20

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

P 70

476

G. R. S. III-2.

General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. 193 of 19 20

Serial No.

No I do not find any mention of reference 1, in the papers now on the file. Perhaps that is why no reply has been given on the letter from the Secretary Legislative Assembly. Is this required?

Please put up the file
11/28/20

The Finance Rep. amendments file obtained from the III Members Office to Exhibit (vide Page)

30/9/20

(Seen)

With reference to the Members Representation dated 20-9-1920, regarding paras 5 and 8 (at pages 3 & 4 of the notes in the Secretary Rep. Amendments file)

Second Member) 11/7/20

NOTE—Contn. sheet

P.T.O.

G. R. S. III-2.

General and Revenue Secretariat. | Revenue File No. 153 of 1920.

Serial No.

2224-185.
10-10-20.

Secn.

Recd.
12.10.20.

For kind perusal before regn
Dewan 13/10/20

Recd
15.10.20

Secn
Dewan 16/10/20.

DEWAN'S OFFICE.
Recd. on OCT 15 1920
No. 32148

Mem Regn amendment file was seperated and sent to Members Office 16/11/20

2 D. ... Comm. Bangalore ...
... 1547 ...
... Secn. Regn. to the appeal case No. 198-15-
... Dewan ... the question ...
... was sufficiently discussed ... that ...
... Notification ... which has the force of law cannot ...
... be decided to raise ... by the ...
... Govt. ...

5

5556.

7

Office of the Deputy Commissioner,

Bangalore District,

Dated Bangalore, 30th August 1920.

AUG 1920

No. P. 152 18.19

From

M.A.Narayana Iyengar, Esq., B.A., F.I.,

Deputy Commissioner,

Bangalore District.

To

T.F.Jayarama Iyer, Esq., F.A., B.L.,

Secretary to the Government of His Highness

the Maharaja of Mysore, Huzur Department.

Sir,

I have the honor to state that Mr.P.Vasudevacharlu Esq, counsel for the appellant in Huzur appeal No.1 of 20-21 on the file of this Court, in the course of enquiry, argued that the Chief Commissioner's Circular Notification of the 17th November 1877 is virtually cancelled by the procedure prescribed in section 14 of the Mysore Regulation No. VI of 1918. I request you to submit, for the orders of Government on the point and intimate the same to me.

I have the honor to be

Sir,

Your most obedient servant.

M.A. Narayana Iyengar

Deputy Commissioner

Bangalore District.

19

From

To

Dated

Despatched

Received

General No.

No.

Enclosure No.

4

S. VI—G/32]

SUBJECT.....

.....

.....

Diary No. of letter replied to .. 476

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT

( )

, the *191* .

Date of issue.....

Signed by.....

Section

File No. 193 - 20

Serial No. 2

No. 1

To

--- The Hy Commr,
Bangalore

Sir,

--- Adverting to your
letter no. 152 dt 20th January
1930 requesting a ruling of
Govt as to whether the
Ch Cantt Cantonment notification
of the 1st November 1929 is
virtually cancelled by the
provisions of Section 11 of the Land

over.

No. 10 of 1915, I am directed to invite ref. to para 4 of G.O. No. 4505-50/Mus. 1918 18.6.20 & 29-6-1920 relating to the re-instatement of Havildar alternate Nur Khan from Abdul Shakhani Daisi dil Chamanpathan, and to state that the said Notification of 18.6.20 which has the effect of cancelling ~~the selection~~ cannot be deemed to have Chaman 24/9/20 been cancelled by the promotion in the Mus Wing deemed to

Dy. Mus. Secretary
30/8/20

List of enclosures.

Rees
28.9.20

Copied by

Examined by

W D 6—G P B—60,000—15 11 16